

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 KARINA REYES SOLIS,  
12 Plaintiff,

No. CIV.S-05-00084 GEB DAD PS

13 v.

ORDER

14 INS,

15 Defendant.  
16 \_\_\_\_\_/

17 By prior order, plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, was  
18 directed to show cause in writing why this case should not be  
19 dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Plaintiff  
20 responded to the order to show cause on June 29, 2005 by requesting  
21 "this Honorable Court to dismiss the case for no further proceedings,  
22 in absentia for dismissal." Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil  
23 Procedure 41(a)(1), plaintiff's request, which the court has  
24 construed as a request for dismissal, shall be granted.

25 /////


26 /////

1           Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is  
2 dismissed.

3 DATED: August 2, 2005.

4 DAD:th

5 DDad1\orders.prose\solis0084.vol.dism



DALE A. DROGD

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE